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Introduction

The report titled “Future Supply Chain 2016: Serving Consumers in a Sustainable Way,” published by the Global Commerce Initiative and Capgemini, provides an integrated model for a future supply chain that will be a more sustainable broad-based solution for the industry. The report offers a toolkit consisting of solution areas, leading practices, example supply chains and calculation models that will be needed to build the innovative future supply chain model, as well as the next steps to make it a reality.

Integrating the identified improvement solutions and collaboration concepts into a cohesive model will provide the future supply chain architecture necessary to bring new efficiency and cost reduction to the industry. The total impact of this supply chain redesign (even taking into account the usage of current transport and storage technology) could potentially reduce transport costs per pallet to the order of more than 30%, cut handling costs per pallet to the order of 20%, reduce lead time by 40% and lower CO₂ emissions per pallet to the order of 25%, while also improving on-shelf availability. This does not include additional energy cost savings stemming from more efficient assets such as green buildings and fuel-efficient/aerodynamic and jumbo trucks.

These benefits and others are expected to be achievable when all the elements of the future supply chain are in place. The future supply chain is expected to provide clear benefits for our society, for the industry, for individual companies, and ultimately for consumers and shoppers.

This separate, freestanding Appendix contains further details of leading practices, example supply chains, calculation models, the integrated model and a glossary of terms and is designed to accompany the main report. The full report can be downloaded at www.gci-net.org and www.capgemini.com.
Leading Practices: Details

The report identifies a number of examples of existing leading practices that are integrated into the model to show how they help to address the solutions areas. These leading practices make it clear that benefits are real and achievable. Following are descriptions of these leading practices.

In-Store Logistics Leading Practice: Schuitema and American Textile Technology Solutions

The Dutch retailer Schuitema has extended its deployment of RFID technology to returnable plastic containers for ready-to-cook vegetables. Results show that the use of RFID has increased Schuitema’s sales by 10% to 12%. Since 2005 Schuitema has been testing RFID labels on crates with ready-to-cook vegetables through a project known as Vers Schakel (Fresh Link). Actual implementation of RFID will cost €12 million (US$16.6 million), according to Schuitema.1

American Textile Company (ATC) improved its use of POS data, providing the firm with a competitive edge as well as sales increases as high as 116%.2 The company now has the information power and leverage to:
- Build stronger customer relationships
- Identify and capitalise on opportunities
- Improve retailers’ sell through
- Efficiently manage inventories
- Understand consumer buying patterns
- Produce more accurate forecasts

Collaborative Physical Logistics Leading Practice: A Multi-Player Approach

ECR France’s collaborative infrastructure leading practice consists of collaboration among three independent manufacturers (Bénédicta, Nutrimaine and Lustucru), one third-party logistics service provider (FM Logistics), six regional haulers and one major retailer (Carrefour). This shared multi-player approach is designed to enable more frequent deliveries and more full trucks. The three suppliers share the same externalised facilities for storage and picking with the retailer, requiring a single point of delivery and the same booking (location/day/hour).

The approach has resulted in the following significant benefits3:
- Average delivery frequency increased by 34%.
- Average load per delivery increased by 115%.
- Average stock coverage in regional distribution centres (RDCs) decreased by 16% (minus three days with mutualisation).
- The service level was maintained to 99.6%.

Reverse Logistics Leading Practice: HP Recycling Programmes

HP has made recycling a point of differentiation by implementing a number of reverse-logistics initiatives.4 For example, Braun/Gillette, Sony, Electrolux and HP founded the “European Recycling Platform” to ensure a competitive market for Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE). As a result, costs are expected to be reduced by more than 50%.

In addition, HP runs direct take-back programmes. For example, “Cash for Scrap” is a collaboration with German consumer electronics retailer Media Markt to sell out IT and has significantly increased take-back. HP also operates a collaborative donation programme with the municipality of Paris and a charitable organisation. Reusable products are taken out of the waste stream and are provided to low-income families.

---

3 “Collaboration goes green,” ECR Europe, ECR France and ECR UK.
4 “take back of IT products in Europe—legislative burden or opportunity?” HP, October 12, 2007.
Demand Fluctuation Management Leading Practice: Scotts’ Consumer-Driven Replenishment

Lack of integrated planning and inventory management with Scotts’ main customers (Wal-Mart, Home Depot and Lowe’s) had resulted in excess inventory, large returns and poor customer service. Inability by these customers to create an accurate POS forecast and accurately replenish stores for Scotts’ heavily seasonal business had led to low in-stock at shelves and consumer dissatisfaction.

Scotts addressed the situation by generating a multi-tier plan for the entire supply chain. POS information now drives replenishment from stores to manufacturing plants minimising the bullwhip effect. Advanced Planning Systems fully integrate all elements of planning in one platform: POS forecast, promos, inventory, lead times and capacity. In addition, Scotts now suggests orders to retailers on a weekly basis.

The benefits were significant:
- Inventory turns are closing in on the 5.5 target.
- Fill rates are at 98%.
- Order forecast accuracy is better than 80% at the regional level.
- In-stock service is at 95%.

Demand Fluctuation Management Leading Practice: Philips Consumer-Driven Supply Chain

The difficulty in managing shelf availability and stock levels in the consumer electronics industry can result in high stock levels. This situation has led to the creation of joint initiatives between Philips and a number of its key customers to increase control over stocks without creating any impact on product availability within retail stores.

Philips’ Collaborative Planning, Forecasting and Replenishment programmes with several customers have created a consumer-driven supply chain. These programmes use point-of-sale data to create transparency in the actual sellout situation, enabling the sharing of information such as stock levels, promotion plans and expected sellout forecasts. The results of this approach have been significant:
- Forecast accuracy has increased to above 80%.
- Stock levels in the supply chain have been reduced by more than 30%.
- On-shelf availability has increased to about 95%, driving sales and consumer satisfaction in the process.

Identification and Labelling Leading Practice: GS1 System of Standards

These leading practices are a key component of e-commerce transactions and will uniquely identify products as they pass through the supply chain. The GS1 System of Standards includes:
- A world-wide open standard, supported, managed and administered by a non-profit organisation (GS1).
- A method supported by published guidelines allowing for a common understanding of implementation and usage on identification and labelling by all supply chain partners.
- Precise allocation rules published.

For more information please visit www.gs1.org.

Process Standard Leading Practice: GUSI Upstream Integration Model

At the end of 2004 the Global Commerce Initiative launched a new Working Group and incorporated the already-active Global Upstream Supply Initiative (GUSI). Since then GCI, together with the Working Group and GS1, has successfully designed a process model and supporting GS1 XML message standards for manufacturers of consumer products, logistics service providers and suppliers of packaging, ingredients and raw materials.

The GUSI process model is known as the Upstream Integration Model (UIM). This model defines a range of common business processes and supporting technical standards and information flows for various scenarios. The model, being built in a modular way, offers a collaborative approach to both supplier- and manufacturer-initiated ordering processes and addresses the most common variants of them (SMI - Supplier Managed Inventory, TOM - Traditional Order Management and synchronised planning).

---

The model provides for a clear business case:
- IT integration is up to four times faster when connecting to new partners.
- IT costs are reduced during set-up (development and training).
- ROI is increased through faster and easier adoption of an integrated upstream supply chain.

More information on GUSI is available at www.gci-net.org.

**Efficient Assets Leading Practice: Macy’s and Freightliner Focus on Efficiency**
Macy’s collaboration with SunPower Corporation is the largest solar and energy efficiency initiative of any U.S. retailer as of the fall of 2007. Benefits include:
- Combined solar and energy efficiency upgrades that have reduced energy consumption by about 40%.
- Significant hedge against rising utility rates.
- Anticipated reduction of CO\(_2\) emissions by 195 million pounds over 30 years, which is equivalent to planting 27,400 acres of trees or removing 19,500 cars from the roads.
- Promotes customer loyalty, employee excitement and community goodwill across participating stores.

Freightliner Trucks has addressed the issue of fuel savings by focusing on more efficient aerodynamics. The benefits stemming from the addition of more aerodynamic features to the company’s Cascadia truck model range from 7.8% to 22% less drag than other aerodynamic tractors. The company notes that this equates to annual fuel savings of approximately $900 to $2,750 per truck.

**Joint Scorecard and Business Plan Leading Practice: Wal-Mart’s Packaging Scorecard**
Wal-Mart’s packaging scorecard is a measurement tool that allows suppliers to evaluate themselves relative to other suppliers, based on specific metrics:
- 15% will be based on greenhouse gases/CO\(_2\) per tonne of production.
- 15% will be based on material value.
- 15% will be based on product/package ratio.
- 15% will be based on cube utilisation.
- 10% will be based on transportation.
- 10% will be based on recycled content.
- 10% will be based on recovery value.
- 5% will be based on renewable energy.
- 5% will be based on innovation.

The results from the first month of operation show active use of the scorecard and a strong interest from product suppliers to make their packaging more sustainable. The scorecard implementation is Wal-Mart’s next step in moving toward achieving a 5% reduction in packaging by 2013.

---

6 www.sunpowercorp.com
Example Supply Chains: As-Is Scenarios

In the main report, simplified supply chains are used to demonstrate how the new supply chain model can work and how it can be adapted to individual companies. In each case, appropriate new solutions are posed, taking into account the main characteristics of the example supply chains. Following are the "as-is" flows of the five example supply chains.

3PL = Third-party logistics provider
DC = Distribution centre
RDC = Regional distribution centre
**White Goods (Large Household Appliances)**

- **Sourcing to Manufacturing**
  - R&D centre
  - Local component sourcing
  - Global component sourcing
  - Information system
  - Technology sourcing

- **Manufacturing to Distribution Centre**
  - Manufacturing centre
  - Company regional warehouse
  - Local warehouse
  - Retail warehouse

- **Distribution Centre to Store**
  - Service centre
  - Electronic product recycle chain

- **Final Mile to the Consumer**
  - Retail outlet
  - Home delivery
  - Returns repairs cycle

**Beverages**

- **Sourcing to Manufacturing**
  - Upstream inventory
  - Sugar from certified mills
  - Centralised sourcing

- **Manufacturing to Distribution Centre**
  - Syrup from the concentrate plant is weekly transported in Jerry cans/cartons of 8, 10... 200 litres
  - Production warehouse
  - Recycling
  - PET recycling plants

- **Distribution Centre to Store**
  - Landfill
  - Recycle cans
  - Production and forecasting scheduling
  - Co-packer

- **Final Mile to the Consumer**
  - Retailer DC or RDC
  - Store
  - Consumer

**Notes:**

- DC = Distribution centre
- RDC = Regional distribution centre
**Vegetables**

**Sourcing**
- Farmland produce
  - 2–3 days
- Sorting/cleaning
  - 1–2 days
- Cold storage
  - 3–4 months

**Source to Distribution Centre**
- Wholesalers/middlemen on the production side
  - 2–3 days
- Ambient warehouse of wholesalers/middlemen on the production side
  - 3–4 months

**Distribution Centre to Store**
- Retailer DC/cold storage
  - 2–3 days
- Hotels/restaurants
- Local grocery store
- Wet market
- Manufacturing (food process industry)
- Retail

**DC** = Distribution centre
**Coffee**

**Sourcing to Manufacturing**
- Growers
- Intermediaries
- Processors

**Manufacturing to Distribution Centre**
- Roaster
- Dealers (importing country)

**Distribution Centre to Store**
- Roaster
- Company warehouse
- Distributor

**Locations**
- Africa, Asia, Central and South America

**Growers/farmers** normally work on a very small plot of land (1-2 hectares)

**Transport in pallets to DC Distribution centre**
- Usually >1,000,000 square metres
- Local accounts served with pallet loads via LTL with ground service if in proximity
- Outsourced to 3PL companies

**Growers working on a very small plot of land**

**Large farmer**
- Co-operative
- Separate processor

**Packing and primary processing** (drying or hulling)

**Ro-ro vessels used between smaller ports**

**Re-ro vessels used** between smaller ports

**Usually liner services are used to ship the cargo**

**Future Supply Chain 2016 APPENDIX**

DC = Distribution centre
FCL = Full container load
LCL = Less than container load
LTL = Less than truckload
3PL = Third-party logistics provider
Calculation Models: Details

The main report examines new ways to calculate the impact on the supply chain. These calculation models, using the new parameters such as energy consumption, CO₂ emissions and traffic congestion, are an essential element of the future supply chain in determining the impact of the leading practices and solutions. The report examines the general characteristics of such calculation models; more detail on actual examples of these calculations follows.

**Collaborative city replenishment:** The issue of city replenishment will grow in the future as stricter regulations focussed on entering cities are implemented to combat increased traffic congestion. In London, for example, the toll area for entering the city centre is expanding. Future regulations may focus not only on entering cities but also on air quality.

An example from Amsterdam demonstrates how the benefits of a city replenishment solution might be calculated. Outside the city are three city hubs—distribution centres in which goods are cross-docked to containers. These containers are put on a tram that goes into the city. Small electric trucks bring the containers from a tram pick-up point to the store. The system has several benefits:

- Reduced traffic congestion due to fewer trucks in the inner city
- Reduced CO₂ emissions
- Lower energy usage
- Reduced noise pollution, less road maintenance

Despite the benefits, such a system raises several concerns. Are the benefits substantial enough to warrant the costs of building and operating the city hubs? Are there other negative effects that can result from city distribution? For example, regulations may be required to enforce this type of initiative. The accompanying table provides an overview of the pros and cons of this example of city replenishment.

**Analysing the Pros and Cons of City Replenishment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Availability to shopper</th>
<th>CO₂ reduction</th>
<th>Cost reduction</th>
<th>Energy reduction</th>
<th>Infrastructure simplification</th>
<th>Work condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTL</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTL</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcels</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

− = negative effect  
+ = positive effect  
o = no effect

FTL = Full truckload  
LTL = Less than truckload

Source: Global Commerce Initiative, Capgemini
In a simple calculation model, it can be shown that the benefits of such a collaborative city replenishment approach are clear for CO$_2$ emissions (see above, bottom chart), but it is harder to also realise supply chain cost benefits (above, top chart). This is heavily dependent on the congestion charges that a city is levying. It is relatively easy to tip the balance for supply chain costs in favour of the collaborative model through higher congestion charges.

**Collaborative warehouse and distribution.**
Collaborative warehouse and distribution solutions capitalise on synergies across the supply chain. There are a number of key benefits that can result from this synergy:
- Reduced stock
- Reduced transport costs
- Reduced warehousing costs

The accompanying illustration (facing page) shows the model for collaborative warehouse and distribution; several possibilities are covered. The percentages represent the volume of the goods. The pooling concepts in the warehouse would only work if the transportation element is aligned with it.

**Neighbourhood delivery.** The calculation model concentrates on two possibilities for delivery to the consumer: consumer pick-up and home delivery.

The calculation model can help determine how each approach leads to reduced consumer-caused CO$_2$ emissions. Let’s take a look at research on a specific home delivery example. This example is based on certain assumptions: the consumer lives 8 kilometres from the store, the average weight of the shopping order is 40 kg and the consumer’s car is effectively empty when travelling to the supermarket. The home delivery van delivers 10 consumer orders (40 kg each) with a journey-circumference of 50 kilometres ($2 \times 3.142 \times 8$). In that case the CO$_2$ emission rate for the consumer collection by car equates to 96 kg, while the home delivery method equals 46 kg, which means a CO$_2$ reduction potential of over 50%. In addition, this approach will contribute to the reduction of traffic congestion.

**Lead-time reduction.** The calculation model demonstrates that lead times can be reduced using a variety of approaches:
- Eliminating one distribution centre can result in a 20% reduction in supply chain costs and an 8% reduction in CO$_2$ emissions.
- Reducing lead time can also be established in the production phase. For the purposes of the report, production is out of scope, so the “black box” needs to be opened.
- White goods could also be delivered directly from the warehouse to the consumer.
- The (regional) warehouse could be outsourced to a logistics service provider.
- Inventory at the retailer could also be reduced.

The accompanying illustration (facing page) shows the desired to-be model for lead-time reduction.

---

**Collaborative Warehouse and Distribution Model**

- **DPS** = Direct plant to store
- **MF** = Manufacturer
- **DSD** = Direct store delivery
- **DC** = Distribution centre

**Lead-Time Reduction Model**

- **3PL** = Third-party logistics provider
**CO₂ emissions from local sourcing.** Reducing miles and CO₂ emissions will be achieved within the individual country in which product is sourced. Offshore sourcing results in perceived margin benefits because of cheaper costs, but at the same time it can result in lower inventory turns, longer lead times, reduced ability to service and less supply chain flexibility. Improvements in these areas can come from domestic sourcing.

Although CO₂ costs are taken into account in the model calculation, the outcome shows that air transport (for offshore sourcing from countries like China) is still feasible (left chart in accompanying diagram), even though there is a big difference in CO₂ emissions (right chart in accompanying diagram). The production cost reduction still often outweighs the higher CO₂ and transport costs.

In the future, it is possible that the energy costs and CO₂ emission charges will double. In the case of the emission charges, it could be an even higher multiple. The model can be used to do a sensitivity analysis on these parameters. In this case, the balance can certainly shift to more local sourcing for certain products. The bottom line is that a company’s sourcing strategy needs to be aligned with its overall business strategy. A holistic view is needed because of the trade-offs that are inherent in sourcing approaches.

---

**CO₂ EMISSIONS LOCAL SOURCING MODEL**

- **Total Cost (€/kg product)**
  - China
  - Poland
  - Local
  - China (air)

- **CO₂ Emissions (gr/kg product)**
  - China
  - Poland
  - Local
  - China (air)

Source: Capgemini research
The Integrated Model: From Production to Consumer

To illustrate how all the improvements suggested in the main report can reinforce each other, the different calculation models have been combined into one integrated model, where the trade-offs between the various solutions are integrated in such a way that the impact on all of the chosen KPIs becomes positive.

The model takes a slice from the current flow of goods – from plant to store – and shows how a combination of shared warehousing, lead-time reduction, shared transport to urban areas and shared transport to non-urban areas can be combined in a favourable way.

On the following page are the parameters that illustrate the current situation, which considers eight manufacturers delivering equal amounts of products per day to four different retailers. These eight manufacturers each have their own warehouse and the four retailers each have their own (regional) distribution centre. Delivery to the four urban stores and four non-urban stores is done by each retailer from its regional distribution centre (RDC) using different urban and non-urban routes.

In the new integrated model (see page 17), the eight manufacturers are arranged into two groups of four, each running a collaborative warehouse. From these collaborative warehouses, store-picked orders are shipped in (fuller) truckloads to either a city hub or a regional consolidation centre, where the different streams are efficiently merged into store replenishment routes.

In the case of urban replenishment, alternative transport is used into the city, reducing not only the total number of city kilometres, but also reducing the CO₂ emissions per city kilometre. In the case of non-urban replenishment, stores of different retailers are even consolidated into more efficient store replenishment, such as one route per village or municipality.

From this description, it should be clear that to realise this to-be model, all the collaborative concepts discussed in the report are needed, including the POS/on-shelf availability sharing to effectively plan such an integrated stream of products.
As-Is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant to warehouse</th>
<th>Warehouse to RDCs</th>
<th>RDC to urban stores</th>
<th>RDC to non-urban stores</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># destinations</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of different products/destination</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># pallets/products/destination/day needed</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of products shipped per day (on average)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>+ 32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Time between deliveries | 2        | 2        | 1        | 1        |
| # trucks                | 8        | 8        | 4        | 4        |
| # pallets per truck     | 24       | 24       | 8        | 16       |

| Transport costs/pallet | 17.1     | 41.7     | 23.125   | 18.75   | 79.7   |
| Handling costs/pallet or rollcage | 5.0     | 8.0     | 7.5     | 7.5     | 20.5    |
| Total costs/pallet or rollcage | 22.1    | 49.7     | 30.6     | 26.3    | 128.6   |

| Truck kilometres/day | 1400     | 3400     | 460      | 920     | 4800   |
| Truck size (tonnes)   | 50       | 50       | 25       | 50      |        |
| Fill rate for truck   | 0.8      | 0.8      | 0.4      | 0.5     |        |
| CO₂ emissions per (kilogram) | 7000     | 17000    | 1150     | 4600    | 24000  |

| Lead time to next shipment | 2        | 2        | 1        | 1        | 5      |
| Inventory needed for lead time | 192     | 192     | 32       | 64       | 384    |
| Value of inventory needed | 192000   | 192000   | 32000    | 64000    | 384000 |

RDC = Regional distribution centre

Source: Global Commerce Initiative, Capgemini
### Shared Warehouse

12 pallets /d Plant 1
12 pallets /d Plant 2
12 pallets /d Plant 3
12 pallets /d Plant 4
12 pallets /d Plant 5
12 pallets /d Plant 6
12 pallets /d Plant 7
12 pallets /d Plant 8

**PER PALLET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plants to shared warehouse</th>
<th>Shared WH to city hub</th>
<th>Shared WH to reg. centre</th>
<th>City hub to tram stop</th>
<th>Tram stop to urban stores</th>
<th>Reg. centre to non-urban stores</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># destinations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of different</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>products/destination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># pallets/products/</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>destination/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shipped per day</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(on average)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Time between deliveries (days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># trucks/trams/</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other vehicles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># pallets per truck</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of the truck/</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tram (in pallets)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Transport costs/pallet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>19.2</th>
<th>30.2</th>
<th>16.65625</th>
<th>1.59375</th>
<th>2.65</th>
<th>7.1875</th>
<th>48.304167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handling costs/pallet</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or rollcage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total costs/pallet or rollcage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>23.7</th>
<th>36.7</th>
<th>23.2</th>
<th>2.6</th>
<th>6.2</th>
<th>13.7</th>
<th>64.804167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Vehicle kilometres/day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1400</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>220</th>
<th>3576</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Truck size (tonnes)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill rate for truck</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions per</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>17680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kilogram)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lead time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inventory needed for lead time</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of inventory needed</td>
<td>192000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>64000</td>
<td>288000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Global Commerce Initiative, Capgemini
The result of the combination of these concepts provides an indication that these models can indeed reinforce each other and create a synergetic effect.

As can be seen in the accompanying summary of the KPIs for transport costs, handling costs, total truck kilometres, emissions and lead time, all these measures could be improved substantially in this integrated model. Even though a more elaborate analysis may show that not all of the projected improvements can be realised, the model can still be used to illustrate a way forward.

A final look at the absolute impact of these KPI improvements in terms of comparable cost reductions at the pallet level shows that transport costs are the biggest component in this model and also represent the biggest savings (see accompanying diagram below). Handling costs are second, and the monetary value of CO₂ reduction and lead-time reductions are less in comparison.

An extension to this model should probably also include storage costs (including warehouse heating, etc.), to give better attention to the inventory cost changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>KPI</th>
<th>Transport costs per pallet</th>
<th>Handling costs per pallet</th>
<th>Total truck kilometres</th>
<th>Emissions per pallet</th>
<th>Lead time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As-is plant to store</td>
<td>79.69</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative approach</td>
<td>48.30</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>3576</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage improvement</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Global Commerce Initiative, Capgemini
Glossary of Terms

Biodegradable Packaging  Biodegradable packaging consists of materials that will easily break down and disappear into the soil or the atmosphere, without causing damage to either.

Category  A category is a distinct, manageable group of products/services that consumers perceive to be interrelated and/or substitutable in meeting a consumer need.

CO₂  Carbon dioxide (chemical formula: CO₂) is a chemical compound composed of two oxygen atoms covalently bonded to a single carbon atom. It is a gas at standard temperature and pressure and exists in Earth’s atmosphere in this state. Carbon dioxide is an important greenhouse gas because it transmits visible light but absorbs strongly in the infrared.

Cross-Docking  A product handling concept where stock for store orders is not put away into warehouse racking for later picking but is either processed into store orders, or arrive ready assembled. This can mean breaking down the inbound delivery into store-ready consignments or, if consignments are pallet sized, moving pallets across the docking areas (hence the name) for loading onto delivery vehicles.

ECR Europe  ECR Europe is a joint trade and industry body, launched in 1994 to make the grocery sector as a whole more responsive to consumer demand and promote the removal of unnecessary costs from the supply chain. For more information please visit www.ecrnet.org.

Efficient Consumer Response (ECR)  A joint initiative by members of the supply chain to work to improve and optimise aspects of the supply chain and demand management to create benefits for the consumer; e.g., lower prices, more choice, better product availability. The mission of ECR Europe is “To serve the consumer better, faster and at lower costs.”

Global Location Number (GLN)  GLN is a 13-digit EAN.UCC code that identifies a physical, functional or legal entity.

Global Scorecard  A Capability Assessment Tool designed to give companies a detailed understanding of their ECR capability, which allows companies to check their conformance to specific GCI-endorsed standards and to highlight specific improvement opportunities for them. For more information please visit www.globalscorecard.net.

Global Trade Item Number (GTIN)  GTIN is a 14-digit EAN.UCC number used to identify products and services.

Greenhouse Effect  The greenhouse effect is the process by which the emission of infrared radiation by the atmosphere warms a planet’s surface.

GS1  GS1 is a leading global organisation dedicated to the design and implementation of global standards and solutions to improve efficiency and visibility in supply and demand chains globally and across sectors. For more information please visit www.gs1.org.

Inventory  The average level of stock in a given point of the supply chain at a given point in time.

Key Performance Indicator (KPI)  Measures that are deemed essential in monitoring the performance of a business; e.g., service level, profitability.

Lead Time  Cycle time between order placement and delivery of goods. Lead times are usually expressed in days or hours.

Logistics Service Provider (LSP)  Company that offers a range of transport, warehousing, distribution and related services to other companies in the supply chain. Also called third-party distribution companies, 3PL or contract distribution companies. Currently a new generation of logistics service providers is emerging, so-called 4PL (fourth-party logistics) – these are companies that provide overall management of logistics networks and LSPs on behalf of manufacturers and retailers.
**Master Data**  Any item and party data applicable across multi-business transactions; it can be neutral – constant across all trading partners (e.g., product size, name of manufacturer) – or relationship dependent (e.g., price).

**National Distribution Centre (NDC)**  A large single stock holding point serving retailer regional distribution centres and other customers in either domestic or international markets.

**New Product Introductions (NPI)**  The term that refers to the process of developing and launching a new product. Alternatively known as New Product Development (NPD).

**Point-of-Sale Data (POS Data)**  POS data are all information captured at an identified point of sale (POS) and generated by the act of purchase; this includes both product and consumer-related data. For the sake of manageability, the ECR POS Data Management project has currently limited its scope to product data only.

**Product Recycling**  Product recycling focusses on the reprocessing of materials into new products. Recycling consists of collecting used products, components and/or materials, disassembling them, separating them into categories and processing them into recycled products, components and/or materials.

**Radio Frequency Identification (RFID)**  RFID is a technology that uses radio frequency waves to transfer data between a reader and a tagged movable item to identify, track or locate that item. RFID does not require physical sight or contact between the reader (scanner) and the tagged item. Broadly speaking all RFID tags (also called intelligent tags or smart labels) comprise a semi-conductor chip with memory processing capability and a transmitter connected to an antenna. The advantage of RFID over traditional barcode-based technologies is that it does not require line of sight and can read in bulk.

**Recyclable Packaging**  Recyclable packaging is made of materials that can be used again, usually after processing, for packaging or some another purpose. Recyclable packaging materials include glass, metal, cardboard and paper.

**Regional Distribution Centre (RDC)**  A distribution point operated by or on behalf of a retailer that serves a number of stores in an area with a range of products.

**Return on Investment (ROI)**  In finance, rate of return (ROR) or return on investment (ROI), or sometimes just return, is the ratio of money gained or lost on an investment relative to the amount of money invested.

**Reusable Packaging**  Reusable packaging includes examples such as glass bottles, which can be cleaned and reused to distribute and store the same product or something else.

**Reverse Logistics**  Logistics designed to reprocess assets, materials, packaging, products or other components that can be recycled, reused or remanufactured.

**Service Level**  The extent to which demand is met by availability of product. Service level is usually expressed as a percentage and can be measured at a number of points in the supply chain; e.g., 95% service levels means that the product is available 95% of the time or 95 out of 100 customers will be able to buy the product.

**SSCC (Serial Shipping Container Code)**  The SSCC is the GS1 Identification Key for an item of any composition established for transport and/or storage that needs to be managed through the supply chain.

**Stock Keeping Unit (SKU)**  Trading unit (e.g., case, tray, promotional shipper, pallet) that can be ordered by customers and handled in the supply chain. It is labelled with a uniquely identifiable trade item number. It may internally consist of consumer units (product package size as sold to consumers) or other trading units.

**Synchronised Production**  Manufacturing aims to co-ordinate production to match demand for product. Rather than manufacturing to build stock levels or to forecast, products are made to order not for inventory.
About the Global Commerce Initiative (GCI)

The Global Commerce Initiative (GCI) was established in October 1999 as a voluntary platform. Its mission is to lead global value chain collaboration through the identification of business needs and the implementation of best practices and standards to serve consumers better, faster and at less cost.

It is a network created by the member companies and sponsors to simplify global commerce and link the value chains to improve consumer value.

GCI operates through an Executive Board composed of senior representatives of more than 45 companies drawn equally from manufacturing and retailing that do business across continents or via global supply chains. It works closely with eight partner organisations – the regional ECR Initiatives and VICS, four trade associations (AIM, CIES, GMA and FMI) and the standards organisations GS1 and GS1US – representing more than 1 million companies in the world.

For more information about the Global Commerce Initiative and questions raised by this report, please contact:

**Sabine Ritter**
Global Commerce Initiative (GCI)
+49 221 947 14 423
sabine.ritter@gci-net.org

**Ruediger Hagedorn**
Global Commerce Initiative (GCI)
+49 221 947 14 444
ruediger.hagedorn@gci-net.org

or visit our website at www.gci-net.org.

About Capgemini and the Collaborative Business Experience

Capgemini, one of the world’s foremost providers of Consulting, Technology and Outsourcing services, has a unique way of working with its clients, called the Collaborative Business Experience. Backed by over three decades of industry and service experience, the Collaborative Business Experience is designed to help our clients achieve better, faster, more sustainable results through seamless access to our network of world-leading technology partners and collaboration-focussed methods and tools. Through commitment to mutual success and the achievement of tangible value, we help businesses implement growth strategies, leverage technology, and thrive through the power of collaboration. Capgemini employs approximately 83,500 people worldwide and reported 2007 global revenues of 8.7 billion euros.

More information about individual service lines, offices and research is available at www.capgemini.com.

For additional information please contact:

**Kees Jacobs**
Capgemini
+31 6 53 292 832
kees.jacobs@capgemini.com

**Ard Jan Vethman**
Capgemini
+31 6 533 94 872
ardjan.vethman@capgemini.com

**Brian Girouard**
Capgemini
+1 952 212 0417
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